Centre de recherche sur les transports (C.R.T.)
Centre for Research on Transportation

CRT-2003-25

AN IMPROVED TABU SEARCH ALGORITHM FOR THE HANDLING OF ROUTE DURATION CONSTRAINTS IN VEHICLE ROUTING PROBLEMS WITH TIME WINDOWS

by

Jean-François Cordeau

Gilbert Laporte

Anne Mercier

September 2003

Université de Montréal
C.P. 6128, succursale Centre-ville, Montréal QC H3C 3J7 Canada
Téléphone: (514) 343-7575 / Télécopieur: (514) 343-7121

crt@crt.umontreal.ca
www.crt.umontreal.ca

HEC ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL Université de Montréal

PUBLICATION
CRT-2003-25

AN IMPROVED TABU SEARCH ALGORITHM FOR THE HANDLING OF ROUTE DURATION CONSTRAINTS IN VEHICLE ROUTING PROBLEMS WITH TIME WINDOWS

by

Jean-François Cordeau[1]

Gilbert Laporte[1]

Anne Mercier[2]

This research was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

[1] Centre de recherche sur les transports, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, succursale Centre-ville, Montréal, Canada H3C 3J7 and Canada Research Chair in Distribution Management, HEC Montréal, 3000 Chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine, Montréal, Canada H3T 2A7

[2] GERAD and École Polytechnique de Montréal, C.P. 6079, succursale Centre-ville, Montréal, Canada H3C 3J7

Dépôt légal – Bibliothèque nationale du Québec
Bibliothèque nationale du Canada, 2003

104-00341/

Abstract

This note introduces a refinement to a previously proposed tabu search algorithm for vehicle routing problems with time windows. This refinement yields new best known solutions on a set of benchmark instances of the multi-depot, the periodic and the site-dependent vehicle routing problems with time windows.

Key words: tabu search, multi-depot, periodic, site-dependent vehicle routing, time windows.

Résumé

Cette note présente une amélioration à un algorithme de recherche avec tabous développé précédemment pour résoudre des problèmes de tournées de véhicules avec fenêtres de temps. Cette modification a permis d'améliorer les meilleures solutions connues pour des ensembles d'instances de trois problèmes : multi-dépôts, périodique et avec contraintes de site.

Mots-clés : heuristiques de recherche avec tabous, problèmes de tournées de véhicules multi-dépôts, périodique, contraintes de site, fenêtres de temps.

Introduction

In a previous article (CORDEAU et al., 2001), the authors have introduced a unified tabu search heuristic for vehicle routing problems with time windows. This heuristic was applied successfully to the vehicle routing problem with time windows (VRPTW) and two of its generalizations: the periodic VRPTW (PVRPTW) and the multi-depot VRPTW (MDVRPTW). It was also later applied to the site-dependent VRPTW (SDVRPTW) by CORDEAU and LAPORTE (2001).

The search mechanism used by this algorithm consistently produces high quality feasible solutions. In particular, each customer visit takes place within its time window but otherwise no particular care is paid to the scheduling aspect of the problem. The purpose of this note is to show that in the presence of route duration constraints, important gains can be achieved by better incorporating scheduling decisions within the search process. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall the definitions of the problems considered in this study. We then describe the enhancement brought to our algorithm. This is followed by computational results and by the conclusion.

The Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows

The VRPTW is defined on a complete graph $G = (V, A)$, where $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is the vertex set and $A = \{(v_i, v_j) : v_i, v_j \in V, i \neq j\}$ is the arc set. Vertex v_0 represents a depot at which is based a fleet of m vehicles, and the remaining vertices of V represent customers to be serviced. With each vertex $v_i \in V$ are associated a nonnegative load q_i (with $q_0 = 0$), a nonnegative service duration d_i (with $d_0 = 0$) and a time window $[e_i, l_i]$, where e_i and l_i are nonnegative integers. Each arc (v_i, v_j) has nonnegative cost c_{ij} and travel time t_{ij}. The VRPTW consists of designing m vehicle routes on G such that (i) every route starts and ends at the depot; (ii) every customer belongs to exactly one route; (iii) the total load and duration of route k do not exceed Q_k and D_k, respectively; (iv) the service at customer i begins in the interval $[e_i, l_i]$, and every vehicle leaves the depot and returns to the depot in the interval $[e_0, l_0]$; and (v) the total travel time of all vehicles is minimized.

The PVRPTW generalizes the VRPTW by considering a planning horizon of several days during which each customer must receive a certain number of visits. The MDVRPTW also generalizes the VRPTW by considering multiple depots at which the vehicles are based. Finally, the SDVRPTW introduces vehicles of different types and compatibility constraints between the vehicles and the customers. As explained by CORDEAU et al. (2001) and CORDEAU and LAPORTE (2001), the MDVRPTW and the SDVRPTW can be seen as special cases of the PVRPTW. The same solution approach can thus be used to address all three variants.

An improved tabu search algorithm

The tabu search heuristic that we have developed addresses all problems with a unified methodology. This heuristic moves, at each iteration, from the current solution s to the best non-tabu solution s' in the neighbourhood $N(s)$ of s. An important feature of the heuristic is that it allows infeasible solutions during the course of the search. The evaluation of candidate solutions in $N(s)$ involves intensive computations since the schedule of the vehicle routes involved in the exchange must be updated to evaluate the impact on violations of time window and route duration constraints. To simplify this evaluation, we have made the assumption that the service at the first customer visited by a vehicle starts as early as possible, i.e., at the lower bound of the customer's time window or as soon as the vehicle arrives from the depot. This rule may, however, lead to a violation of the route duration constraint whereas a different scheduling would yield a feasible route.

Consider a particular ordered route $k = (v_0, \ldots, v_i, \ldots, v_q)$ where v_0 and v_q both represent the depot. Let A_i, W_i and B_i denote the arrival time, the waiting time and the beginning of service at vertex v_i, respectively. It is clear that sequentially setting $B_0 = e_0$ and $B_i = \max\{e_i, A_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, q$ is optimal in terms of minimizing time window violations because the vehicle leaves the depot as early as possible and the service at each vertex also begins as early as possible. However, because of route duration constraints, a solution that is infeasible if $B_0 = e_0$ and $B_i = \max\{A_i, e_i\}$ for every vertex v_i can in fact be feasible (or less infeasible) if the departure from the depot is voluntarily delayed. Of course, a simple adjustment that will reduce route duration is obtained by setting $B_0 = \max\{e_0, e_j - t_{0j}\}$, where v_j denotes the first vertex visited after leaving the depot. However, it may sometimes be possible to further delay the departure from the depot, especially when the time window associated with vertex v_j is wide.

SAVELSBERGH (1992) has introduced the concept of forward time slack which may be used to postpone the beginning of service at a give node without causing any time window violation. Assuming $d_i = 0$ for every node i, the author defines the *forward time slack* F_i of vertex v_i as

$$F_i = \min_{i \le j \le q} \left\{ l_j - \left(B_i + \sum_{i \le p < j} t_{p,p+1} \right) \right\}. \tag{1}$$

Using the fact that

$$B_j = B_i + \sum_{i \le p < j} t_{p,p+1} + \sum_{i < p \le j} W_p \,, \tag{2}$$

one can rewrite (1) as

$$F_i = \min_{i \le j \le q} \left\{ l_j - \left(B_j - \sum_{i < p \le j} W_p \right) \right\} \tag{3}$$

$$= \min_{i \le j \le q} \left\{ \sum_{i < p \le j} W_p + (l_j - B_j) \right\}. \tag{4}$$

The latter form emphasizes the fact that the slack at vertex v_j is the cumulative waiting time up to vertex v_j, plus the difference between the end of the time window and the beginning of service at vertex v_j. It also generalizes directly to the case of non-zero service times.

When feasibility must be maintained through exchanges, the forward time slack is the largest increase in the beginning of service at vertex v_i that will not cause any time window violation. In our case, since infeasible solutions are allowed during the search, the notion of forward time slack must be slightly modified to represent the largest increase in the beginning of service at vertex v_i that will not cause any increase in time window violations. Hence, the term $(l_j - B_j)$ should be replaced with $(l_j - B_j)^+$ in (4) because even if the time window for vertex v_j cannot be satisfied in the current route, one can nevertheless increase the beginning of service at vertex v_i by as much as $\sum_{i<p\le j} W_p$ without increasing the violation of the time window constraint at vertex v_j.

As a result, equation (4) becomes

$$F_i = \min_{i \le j \le q} \left\{ \sum_{i < p \le j} W_p + (l_j - B_j)^+ \right\}. \tag{5}$$

Setting $B_0 = e_0 + F_0$ instead of $B_0 = e_0$ will thus yield a modified route of minimal total duration with equal violations of time window constraints. Observe that delaying the departure time from the depot by $\sum_{0<p<q} W_p$ does not affect the arrival time A_q at the end of the route whereas delaying the departure by more would simply increase A_q by as much. As a result, the minimal route duration that does not increase constraint violations is given by

$$A_q - \left(e_0 + \min \left\{ F_0, \sum_{0 < p < q} W_p \right\} \right).$$

We have thus modified our algorithm so as to compute the forward time slack at the depot whenever the cost of an exchange is evaluated. This obviously leads to an increase in computation time but it also yields much improved solutions.

Computational results

In this section we report results on three sets of instances that incorporate route duration constraints. These sets contain MDVRPTW, PVRPTW and SDVRPTW instances that were introduced in two previous papers (CORDEAU et al., 2001; CORDEAU and LAPORTE, 2001). To our knowledge, no other results have been reported on these instances, except for a recent article on the MDVRPTW by POLACEK et al. (2003). These authors have obtained solutions that improve upon those published by CORDEAU et al. (2001) but are dominated by those of the present study.

Tables 1, 2 and 3 provide a summary of the computational experiments performed with our new algorithm. In these tables, the value of t denotes the number of depots in the case of the MDVRPTW, the number of days in the case of the PVRPTW and the number of vehicle types in the case of the SDVRPTW. Depending on the problem type, the value of m denotes the number of vehicles available at each depot, on each day or of each type. For each instance, we report the best solution identified after 10^4, 10^5 and 10^6 iterations of a single run of the algorithm. We also indicate the new best solution found during 10 runs with 10^6 iterations and the old best known solution that was reported by CORDEAU et al. (2001) or CORDEAU and LAPORTE (2001). Finally, we indicate the CPU time in minutes needed to perform 10^4 iterations on a 2 GHz Pentium 4 computer. Since the time needed to compute an initial solution is negligible, the total computing time is directly proportional to the number of iterations. The CPU time for performing 10^5 or 10^6 iterations is thus obtained by multiplying the reported time by 10 or 100.

A comparison with the CPU times needed by our previous implementation shows that the extra time spent for the computation of the forward time slack yields approximately a twofold increase in computational effort. However, solution quality improves dramatically. Using the improved algorithm, the average cost of the best known solutions for the MDVRPTW, PVRPTW and SDVRPTW decreases by 2.52%, 3.16% and 2.05%, respectively. For each instance of each problem, we have obtained a solution that improves or matches the previous best known solution. Furthermore, solutions found after only 10^5 iterations are very often better than the previous best known solution. Of course, the impact of computing the forward time slack varies from one instance to another. In some cases, this impact is negligible because several customers have time windows whose lower bound is close to the start of the planning horizon. On other instances, however, the impact is significant. This is the case, for example, for instance 6a of the MDVRPTW where the gain exceeds 7%.

Conclusion

We have introduced a simple refinement to a previously proposed tabu search heuristic for several classes of vehicle routing problems with time windows. This refinement is an adapta-

Table 1: Computational results for the MDVRPTW

	n	t	m	Time (10^4)	10^4 iter.	10^5 iter.	10^6 iter.	New best	Old best
1a	48	4	2	0.28	1074.12	1074.12	1074.12	1074.12	1083.98
2a	96	4	3	0.79	1776.07	1766.94	1762.48	1762.21	1763.07
3a	144	4	4	1.15	2423.13	2420.89	2397.06	2373.65	2408.42
4a	192	4	5	1.44	2924.15	2868.64	2865.71	2852.29	2958.23
5a	240	4	6	1.81	3092.21	3059.40	3050.80	3029.65	3134.04
6a	288	4	7	2.21	3888.13	3701.08	3670.13	3627.18	3904.07
7a	72	6	2	0.53	1433.35	1425.87	1418.22	1418.22	1423.35
8a	144	6	3	1.02	2167.48	2118.50	2118.50	2102.61	2150.22
9a	216	6	4	1.60	2788.76	2777.91	2760.46	2737.82	2833.80
10a	288	6	5	2.27	3585.85	3546.24	3507.26	3505.27	3717.22
1b	48	4	1	0.32	1037.33	1025.14	1016.59	1005.73	1031.49
2b	96	4	2	0.81	1503.64	1486.26	1486.26	1478.51	1500.48
3b	144	4	3	1.43	2121.87	2033.75	2028.85	2011.24	2020.58
4b	192	4	4	1.88	2270.96	2228.64	2228.64	2202.08	2247.72
5b	240	4	5	2.27	2565.78	2555.95	2527.60	2494.57	2509.75
6b	288	4	6	2.61	3048.46	2978.60	2960.93	2901.02	2943.90
7b	72	6	1	0.61	1364.16	1250.18	1241.25	1236.24	1250.09
8b	144	6	2	1.46	1911.77	1870.34	1823.24	1792.61	1809.35
9b	216	6	3	2.62	2386.30	2338.74	2288.38	2285.10	2310.92
10b	288	6	4	2.63	3283.23	3147.79	3120.32	3079.16	3131.90
Avg.				1.49	2332.34	2283.75	2267.34	2248.46	2306.63

tion of the forward time slack concept previously introduced by Savelsbergh. Computational experiments show that in the presence of route duration constraints, the extra computation time required is largely justified by very significant improvements in solution quality.

References

J.-F. Cordeau and G. Laporte. "A tabu search algorithm for the site dependent vehicle routing problem with time windows." *INFOR*, **39**:292–298 (2001).

J.-F. Cordeau, G. Laporte and A. Mercier. "A unified tabu search heuristic for vehicle routing problems with time windows." *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, **52**:928–936 (2001).

M. Polacek, R.F. Hartl, K. Doerner and M. Reimann. "A Variable Neighborhood Search for the Multi Depot Vehicle Routing Problem with Time

Table 2: Computational results for the PVRPTW

	n	t	m	Time (10^4)	10^4 iter.	10^5 iter.	10^6 iter.	New best	Old best
1a	48	4	3	0.30	2934.70	2915.68	2915.58	2911.03	3007.84
2a	96	4	6	0.70	5096.18	5094.39	5071.84	5055.05	5328.33
3a	144	4	9	1.09	7378.56	7284.32	7243.79	7229.73	7397.10
4a	192	4	12	1.55	8196.88	8087.06	8087.06	7953.08	8376.95
5a	240	4	15	1.89	8856.69	8752.72	8595.53	8593.00	8967.90
6a	288	4	18	2.45	11307.65	10961.78	10961.78	10927.45	11686.91
7a	72	6	5	0.53	6927.63	6891.76	6833.21	6825.07	6991.54
8a	144	6	10	1.14	10137.66	9990.46	9944.27	9748.36	10045.05
9a	216	6	15	2.06	13973.60	13796.75	13635.64	13614.47	14294.97
10a	288	6	20	2.90	18235.55	18135.60	17769.04	17735.59	18609.72
1b	48	4	3	0.37	2373.64	2297.21	2294.03	2294.03	2318.37
2b	96	4	6	0.78	4378.29	4335.11	4335.11	4257.40	4276.13
3b	144	4	9	1.20	5909.71	5699.78	5648.76	5648.76	5702.07
4b	192	4	12	1.90	6838.41	6619.56	6594.54	6594.54	6789.73
5b	240	4	15	2.22	7426.21	7138.28	7138.28	7054.95	7102.36
6b	288	4	18	2.93	9155.26	9039.29	8966.80	8928.37	9180.15
7b	72	6	4	0.73	5696.81	5580.22	5505.23	5505.23	5606.08
8b	144	6	8	1.48	8129.83	7914.39	7875.43	7875.31	7987.64
9b	216	6	12	2.53	11620.24	11269.13	11067.64	10889.77	11089.91
10b	288	6	16	3.18	14339.35	14145.37	14031.94	13980.55	14207.64
Avg.				1.60	8445.64	8297.44	8225.78	8181.09	8448.32

Windows." Technical report, Department of Production and Operations Management, Institute of Management Science, University of Vienna, 2003.

M.W.P. Savelsbergh. "The Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows: Minimizing Route Duration." *ORSA Journal on Computing*, **4**:146–154 (1992).

Table 3: Computational results for the SDVRPTW

	n	t	m	Time (10^4)	10^4 iter.	10^5 iter.	10^6 iter.	New best	Old best
1a	48	4	2	0.27	1673.34	1666.47	1656.22	1655.42	1655.42
2a	96	4	3	0.49	2925.36	2915.55	2906.13	2904.13	2975.18
3a	144	4	4	0.95	3406.21	3406.21	3324.79	3321.44	3373.52
4a	192	4	5	1.28	4586.40	4532.58	4511.45	4509.36	4682.84
5a	240	4	6	1.73	6195.05	5859.03	5810.85	5777.56	6278.89
6a	288	4	7	2.15	6230.15	5773.24	5769.87	5769.87	6014.95
7a	72	6	2	0.28	2264.87	2181.77	2179.39	2167.46	2179.06
8a	144	6	3	0.83	4029.05	3983.08	3959.06	3904.84	3988.18
9a	216	6	4	1.39	5102.62	5008.54	4922.22	4875.62	4982.19
10a	288	6	5	2.10	6212.78	6171.16	6038.46	5969.50	6050.41
1b	48	4	2	0.31	1438.39	1433.24	1429.35	1429.35	1429.35
2b	96	4	3	0.69	2550.87	2516.83	2502.25	2494.53	2494.54
3b	144	4	4	1.23	2856.24	2814.61	2798.46	2798.46	2807.69
4b	192	4	5	1.57	3896.00	3762.38	3716.41	3711.96	3775.86
5b	240	4	6	2.21	4955.04	4955.04	4672.41	4672.41	4721.91
6b	288	4	7	2.79	5191.36	5008.27	4993.57	4873.08	4934.40
7b	72	6	2	0.41	1872.98	1864.11	1852.70	1837.94	1850.44
8b	144	6	3	1.07	3273.36	3215.06	3186.49	3170.46	3175.25
9b	216	6	4	1.90	4173.86	4033.63	4016.34	3985.52	4017.27
10b	288	6	5	2.90	5316.80	5158.89	5158.89	5147.68	5159.84
Avg.				1.33	3907.54	3812.99	3770.27	3748.83	3827.36